

August 1, 2007

REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Examiner indicated that the application contained the following patentably distinct species, and required applicant to elect one species for further prosecution:

- Embodiment 1: Figures 3-7 (in the specification, page 3, line 28
 to page 4, line 7); and
Embodiment 2: Figures 8-13 (in the specification, page 4, lines 8-23).

Further, the Examiner required that the reply must include an identification of the species that is elected and a listing of all claims readable thereon. In accordance with the Examiner's requirement, applicant submits herewith a listing of claims, wherein the unelected claims have been identified as "Withdrawn".

Election of Species in Response to Restriction Requirement

Applicant elects, without traverse, the species drawn to Figs. 3-7, and the claims readable on the elected species, that is, claims 1-6 and 15, for further prosecution on the merits.

Conclusion

Applicant has addressed the Examiner's requirement for restriction herein. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner issue an early Notice of Allowance. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 19-5425.

Respectfully submitted,

August 1, 2007
Date

By: /Mark D. Simpson/
Mark D. Simpson
Reg. No. 32,942

SYNNESTVEDT & LECHNER LLP
Suite 2600 Aramark Tower
1101 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19107
(215) 923-4466